27

28

<sup>1</sup> This citation refers to the court's docket number.

In deciding whether to reduce a defendant's sentence following the U.S. Sentencing Commission's modification of a guideline range, a court must consider the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). Here, the parties have a "joint recommendation after having reviewed [Ortiz-Monroy's] progress reports from the Bureau of Prisons, along with other relevant records." ECF No. 199 at 4 n.1. However, the stipulation did not provide a description of disciplinary infractions, or reports or records or other factors which would justify a sentence reduction in this case. Given this history, the court suspended its consideration of the pending motion and ordered the parties to submit additional briefing in support of their joint stipulation (ECF No. 200).

Supplemental briefing has been presented to the court by defendant (ECF No. 201) and by the government (ECF No. 203), and the court has considered these briefings as well as all of the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). On the basis of the favorable information submitted with regard to defendant Ortiz-Monroy, the court is satisfied that good cause has been demonstrated for a reduction of his sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).

WHEREFORE IT IS ORDERED that Ortiz-Monroy's motion for sentence reduction is GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment of 300 months on counts 1 through 10 of the Second Superseding Indictment in this action is reduced to 243 months' imprisonment; with all other provisions of the Judgment dated August 16, 2002 (ECF No. 75) to remain in effect, including the term of supervised relief of ten (10) years.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 1st day of February, 2017.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

lishe